

On Relativistic Bose-Einstein Condensation

L. Burakovsky,^{*} L.P. Horwitz[†]

School of Physics and Astronomy
Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences
Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel

and

W.C. Schieve[‡]

Ilya Prigogine Center
for Studies in Statistical Mechanics
University of Texas at Austin
Austin TX 78712, USA

Abstract

We discuss the properties of an ideal relativistic gas of events possessing Bose-Einstein statistics. We find that the mass spectrum of such a system is bounded by $\mu \leq m \leq 2M/\mu_K$, where μ is the usual chemical potential, M is an intrinsic dimensional scale parameter for the motion of an event in space-time, and μ_K is an additional mass potential of the ensemble. For the system including both particles and antiparticles, with nonzero chemical potential μ ,

^{*}Bitnet: BURAKOV@TAUNIVM.TAU.AC.IL.

[†]Bitnet: HORWITZ@TAUNIVM.TAU.AC.IL. Also at Department of Physics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, Israel

[‡]Bitnet: WCS@MAIL.UTEXAS.EDU.

the mass spectrum is shown to be bounded by $|\mu| \leq m \leq 2M/\mu_K$, and a special type of high-temperature Bose-Einstein condensation can occur. We study this Bose-Einstein condensation, and show that it corresponds to a phase transition from the sector of continuous relativistic mass distributions to a sector in which the boson mass distribution becomes sharp at a definite mass M/μ_K . This phenomenon provides a mechanism for the mass distribution of the particles to be sharp at some definite value.

Key words: special relativity, relativistic Bose-Einstein condensation, mass distribution, mass shell

PACS: 03.30.+p, 05.20.Gg, 05.30.Ch, 98.20.-d

1 Introduction

There have been a number of papers in the past [1, 2, 3, 4], which discuss the properties of an ideal relativistic Bose gas with nonzero chemical potential μ . Particular attention has been given to the behavior of the Bose-Einstein condensation and the nature of the phase transition in d space dimensions [4, 5]. The basic work was done many years ago by Jüttner [6], Glaser [7], and more recently by Landsberg and Dunning-Davies [8] and Nieto [9]. These works were all done in the framework of the usual on-shell relativistic statistical mechanics.

To describe an ideal Bose gas in the grand canonical ensemble, the usual expression for the number of bosons N in relativistic statistical mechanics is

$$N = V \sum_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}} = V \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{e^{(E_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)/T} - 1}, \quad (1.1)$$

where V is the system's three-volume, $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$ and T is the absolute temperature (we use the system of units in which $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$; we also use the metric $g^{\mu\nu} = (-, +, +, +)$), and one must require that $\mu \leq m$ in order to ensure a positive-definite value for $n_{\mathbf{k}}$, the number of bosons with momentum \mathbf{k} . Here N is assumed to be a conserved quantity, so that it makes sense to talk of a box of N bosons. This can no longer be true once $T \gtrsim m$ [10]; at such temperatures quantum field theory requires consideration of particle-antiparticle pair production. If \bar{N} is the number of antiparticles, then N and \bar{N} by themselves are not conserved but $N - \bar{N}$ is. Therefore, the high-temperature limit of (1.1) is not relevant in realistic physical systems.

The introduction of antiparticles into the theory in a systematic way was made by Haber and Weldon [10, 11]. They considered an ideal Bose gas with a conserved quantum number (referred to as “charge”) Q , which corresponds to a quantum mechanical particle number operator commuting with the Hamiltonian \hat{H} . All

thermodynamic quantities may be then obtained from the grand partition function $Tr \{ \exp [-(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{Q})/T] \}$ considered as a function of T, V , and μ [12]. The formula for the conserved net charge, which replaces (1.1), reads¹ [10]

$$Q = V \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[\frac{1}{e^{(E_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)/T} - 1} - \frac{1}{e^{(E_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu)/T} - 1} \right]. \quad (1.2)$$

In such a formulation a boson-antiboson system is described by only one chemical potential μ ; the sign of μ indicates whether particles outnumber antiparticles or vice versa. The requirement that both $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\bar{n}_{\mathbf{k}}$ be positive definite leads to the important relation

$$|\mu| \leq m. \quad (1.3)$$

The sum over \mathbf{k} in (1.2) can be replaced by an integral, so that the charge density $\rho \equiv Q/V$ becomes

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \left[\frac{1}{e^{(E_k - \mu)/T} - 1} - (\mu \rightarrow -\mu) \right], \quad (1.4)$$

which is an implicit formula for μ as a function of ρ and T , and in the region $T \gg m$ reduces to

$$\rho \cong \frac{\mu T^2}{3}. \quad (1.5)$$

For T above some critical temperature T_c , one can always find a μ ($|\mu| \leq m$) such that (1.4) holds. Below T_c no such μ can be found, and (1.4) should be interpreted as the charge density of the excited states: $\rho - \rho_0$, where ρ_0 is the charge density of the ground state [10] (with $\mathbf{k} = 0$; clearly, this state is given with zero weight in the integral (1.4)). The critical temperature T_c at which Bose-Einstein condensation occurs corresponds to $\mu = \pm m$ (depending on the sign of ρ .) Thus, one sets $|\mu| = m$ in (1.4) and obtains, via (1.5) (provided that $|\rho| \gg m^3$),

$$T_c = \sqrt{\frac{3|\rho|}{m}}. \quad (1.6)$$

Below T_c , (1.4) is an equation for $\rho - \rho_0$, so that the charge density in the ground state is

$$\rho_0 = \rho [1 - (T/T_c)^2]. \quad (1.7)$$

It follows from Eq. (1.6) that any ideal Bose gas will condense at a relativistic temperature ($T_c \gg m$), provided that $|\rho| \gg m^3$.

Recently the analogous phenomenon has been studied in relativistic quantum field theory [11, 13, 14, 15]. For relativistic fields Bose-Einstein condensation occurs at high

¹One uses the standard recipe according to which all additive thermodynamic quantities are reversed for antiparticles.

temperatures and can be interpreted in terms of a spontaneous symmetry breaking [11].

The extension and generalization of Bose-Einstein condensation to curved space-times and space-times with boundaries has also been the subject of much study. The non-relativistic Bose gas in the Einstein static universe was treated in ref. [1]. The generalization to relativistic scalar fields was given in refs. [16, 17]. The extension to higher dimensional spheres was given in ref. [18]. Bose-Einstein condensation on hyperbolic manifolds [19], and in the Taub universe [12] has also been considered. More recently, by calculating the high-temperature expansion of the thermodynamic potential when the boundaries are present, Kirsten [21] examined Bose-Einstein condensation in certain cases. Later work of Toms [22] showed how to interpret Bose-Einstein condensation in terms of symmetry breaking, in the manner of flat space-time calculations [11, 13]. The most recent study by Lee *et al.* [23] showed how interacting scalar fields can be treated. Bose-Einstein condensation for self-interacting complex scalar fields was considered in ref. [24].

In the present paper we consider a relativistic Bose gas within the framework of a manifestly covariant relativistic statistical mechanics [25, 26, 27]. We shall review this framework briefly in the next section. We obtain the expressions for characteristic thermodynamic quantities and show that they coincide with those of the relativistic on-shell theory, except for the value of the average energy (which differs by a factor $2/3$). We introduce antiparticles and discuss the properties of Bose-Einstein condensation in such a particle-antiparticle system. We show that it corresponds to a phase transition to a high-temperature form of the usual on-shell relativistic kinetic theory.

2 Relativistic N -body system

In the framework of a manifestly covariant relativistic statistical mechanics, the dynamical evolution of a system of N particles, for the classical case, is governed by equations of motion that are of the form of Hamilton equations for the motion of N *events* which generate the space-time trajectories (particle world lines) as functions of a continuous Poincaré-invariant parameter τ , called the “historical time” [28, 29]. These events are characterized by their positions $q^\mu = (t, \mathbf{q})$ and energy-momenta $p^\mu = (E, \mathbf{p})$ in an $8N$ -dimensional phase-space. For the quantum case, the system is characterized by the wave function $\psi_\tau(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N) \in L^2(R^{4N})$, with the measure $d^4q_1 d^4q_2 \dots d^4q_N \equiv d^{4N}q$, ($q_i \equiv q_i^\mu$; $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$), describing the distribution of events, which evolves with a generalized Schrödinger equation [29]. The collection of events (called “concatenation” [30]) along each world line corresponds to a *particle*, and hence, the evolution of the state of the N -event system describes, *a posteriori*, the history in space and time of an N -particle system.

For a system of N interacting events (and hence, particles) one takes [29]

$$K = \sum_i \frac{p_i^\mu p_{i\mu}}{2M} + V(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N), \quad (2.1)$$

where M is a given fixed parameter (an intrinsic property of the particles), with the dimension of mass, taken to be the same for all the particles of the system. The Hamilton equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dq_i^\mu}{d\tau} &= \frac{\partial K}{\partial p_{i\mu}} = \frac{p_i^\mu}{M}, \\ \frac{dp_i^\mu}{d\tau} &= -\frac{\partial K}{\partial q_{i\mu}} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_{i\mu}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

In the quantum theory, the generalized Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \psi_\tau(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N) = K \psi_\tau(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N) \quad (2.3)$$

describes the evolution of the N -body wave function $\psi_\tau(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N)$. To illustrate the meaning of this wave function, consider the case of a single free event. In this case (2.3) has the formal solution

$$\psi_\tau(q) = (e^{-iK_0\tau} \psi_0)(q) \quad (2.4)$$

for the evolution of the free wave packet. Let us represent $\psi_\tau(q)$ by its Fourier transform, in the energy-momentum space:

$$\psi_\tau(q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^4p e^{-i\frac{p^2}{2M}\tau} e^{ip \cdot q} \psi_0(p), \quad (2.5)$$

where $p^2 \equiv p^\mu p_\mu$, $p \cdot q \equiv p^\mu q_\mu$, and $\psi_0(p)$ corresponds to the initial state. Applying the Ehrenfest arguments of stationary phase to obtain the principal contribution to $\psi_\tau(q)$ for a wave packet at p_c^μ , one finds (p_c^μ is the peak value in the distribution $\psi_0(p)$)

$$q_c^\mu \simeq \frac{p_c^\mu}{M} \tau, \quad (2.6)$$

consistent with the classical equations (2.2). Therefore, the central peak of the wave packet moves along the classical trajectory of an event, i.e., the classical world line.

In the case that $p_c^0 = E_c < 0$, we see, as in Stueckelberg's classical example [28], that

$$\frac{dt_c}{d\tau} \simeq \frac{E_c}{M} < 0.$$

It has been shown [30] in the analysis of an evolution operator with minimal electromagnetic interaction, of the form

$$K = \frac{(p - eA(q))^2}{2M},$$

that the CPT -conjugate wave function is given by

$$\psi_\tau^{CPT}(t, \mathbf{q}) = \psi_\tau(-t, -\mathbf{q}), \quad (2.7)$$

with $e \rightarrow -e$. For the free wave packet, one has

$$\psi_\tau^{CPT}(q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^4p e^{-i\frac{p^2}{2M}\tau} e^{-ip \cdot q} \psi_0(p). \quad (2.8)$$

The Ehrenfest motion in this case is

$$q_c^\mu \simeq -\frac{p_c^\mu}{M}\tau;$$

if $E_c < 0$, we see that the motion of the event in the CPT -conjugate state is in the positive direction of time, i.e.,

$$\frac{dt_c}{d\tau} \simeq -\frac{E_c}{M} = \frac{|E_c|}{M}, \quad (2.9)$$

and one obtains the representation of a positive energy generic event with the opposite sign of charge, i.e., the antiparticle.

2.1 Ideal relativistic Bose gas

To describe an ideal gas of events obeying Bose-Einstein statistics in the grand canonical ensemble, we use the expression for the number of events found in [25],

$$N = V^{(4)} \sum_{k^\mu} n_{k^\mu} = V^{(4)} \sum_{k^\mu} \frac{1}{e^{(E - \mu - \mu_K \frac{m^2}{2M})/T} - 1}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $V^{(4)}$ is the system's four-volume, μ_K the additional mass potential [25], which we shall take, in order to simplify subsequent consideration, to be a fixed parameter (which determines an upper bound of the mass distribution in the ensemble we are studying, as we shall see below), and $m^2 \equiv -k^2 = -k^\mu k_\mu$. To ensure a positive-definite value for n_{k^μ} , the number of bosons with four-momentum k^μ , we require that

$$m - \mu - \mu_K \frac{m^2}{2M} \geq 0. \quad (2.11)$$

The discriminant for the l.h.s. of the inequality must be nonnegative, i.e.,

$$\mu \leq \frac{M}{2\mu_K}. \quad (2.12)$$

For such μ , (2.11) has the solution

$$\frac{M}{\mu_K} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\mu\mu_K}{M}} \right) \leq m \leq \frac{M}{\mu_K} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\mu\mu_K}{M}} \right). \quad (2.13)$$

For small $\mu\mu_K/M$, the region (2.13) may be approximated by

$$\mu \leq m \leq \frac{2M}{\mu_K}. \quad (2.14)$$

One sees that μ_K plays a fundamental role in determining an upper bound of the mass spectrum, in addition to the usual lower bound $m \geq \mu$. In fact, small μ_K admits a very large range of off-shell mass, and hence can be associated with the presence of strong interactions [31].

Replacing the sum over k^μ (2.10) by an integral, one obtains for the density of events per unit space-time volume $n \equiv N/V^{(4)}$ [32],

$$n = \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\beta \frac{m^3 \sinh^2 \beta}{e^{(m \cosh \beta - \mu - \mu_K \frac{m^2}{2M})/T} - 1}, \quad (2.15)$$

where m_1 and m_2 are defined in Eq. (2.13), and we have used the parametrization [26]

$$\begin{aligned} p^0 &= m \cosh \beta, \\ p^1 &= m \sinh \beta \sin \theta \cos \phi, \\ p^2 &= m \sinh \beta \sin \theta \sin \phi, \\ p^3 &= m \sinh \beta \cos \theta, \\ 0 &\leq \theta < \pi, \quad 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi, \quad -\infty < \beta < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we shall restrict ourselves to the case of high temperature alone:

$$T \gg \frac{M}{\mu_K}. \quad (2.16)$$

It is then possible (for simplicity here; see footnote 3 on Eq. (3.9)) to neglect indistinguishability of bosons in the integrand² and to rewrite (2.15) in the form

$$n = \frac{e^{\mu/T}}{4\pi^3} \int_{m_1}^{m_2} m^3 dm \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sinh^2 \beta d\beta e^{-m \cosh \beta/T} e^{\mu_K m^2/2MT}, \quad (2.17)$$

which reduces, upon integrating out β , to [27]

$$n = \frac{T e^{\mu/T}}{4\pi^3} \int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm m^2 K_1\left(\frac{m}{T}\right) e^{\mu_K m^2/2MT}, \quad (2.18)$$

where $K_\nu(z)$ is the Bessel function of the third kind (imaginary argument). Since $m \leq m_2 \leq 2M/\mu_K$,

$$\frac{\mu_K m^2}{2MT} \leq \frac{\mu_K (2M/\mu_K)^2}{2MT} = \frac{2M}{T\mu_K} \ll 1, \quad (2.19)$$

²The limits of integration remain as a vestige of the Bose-Einstein distribution; they play a central role in the results, as we shall see below.

in view of (2.16), and also

$$\frac{\mu}{T} \leq \frac{m}{T} \leq \frac{2M}{T\mu_K} \ll 1. \quad (2.20)$$

Therefore, one can neglect the exponentials in Eq. (2.18), and for $K_1(m/T)$ use the asymptotic formula [33]

$$K_\nu(z) \sim \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\nu) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-\nu}, \quad z \ll 1. \quad (2.21)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$n \cong \frac{T^2}{4\pi^3} \int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm \, m = \frac{T^2}{2\pi^3} \left(\frac{M}{\mu_K}\right)^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\mu\mu_K}{M}}. \quad (2.22)$$

From this equation, one can identify the high-temperature mass distribution for the system we are studying, $f(m) \sim m$, so that

$$\langle m^\ell \rangle = \frac{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm \, m^{\ell+1}}{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm \, m} = \frac{2}{\ell+2} \frac{m_2^{\ell+2} - m_1^{\ell+2}}{m_2^2 - m_1^2}. \quad (2.23)$$

In particular,

$$\langle m \rangle = \frac{4}{3} \frac{M}{\mu_K} \left(1 - \frac{\mu\mu_K}{2M}\right), \quad (2.24)$$

$$\langle m^2 \rangle = 2 \left(\frac{M}{\mu_K}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\mu\mu_K}{M}\right). \quad (2.25)$$

Extracting the joint distribution for β and m from (2.17) in the same way, let us also obtain the average values of the energy and the energy squared for high T . First,

$$\langle E \rangle \equiv \langle m \cosh \beta \rangle \cong \frac{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} m^4 dm \sinh^2 \beta \cosh \beta d\beta e^{-m \cosh \beta/T}}{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} m^3 dm \sinh^2 \beta d\beta e^{-m \cosh \beta/T}}. \quad (2.26)$$

Integrating out β , one finds

$$\langle E \rangle \cong \frac{1}{4T} \frac{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm \, m^4 [K_3(m/T) - K_1(m/T)]}{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm \, m^2 K_1(m/T)}. \quad (2.27)$$

It is seen, with the help of (2.21), that it is possible to neglect K_1 in comparison with K_3 in the numerator of (2.27) and obtain, via (2.21),

$$\langle E \rangle \cong \frac{1}{4T} \frac{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm \, m^4 K_3(m/T)}{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm \, m^2 K_1(m/T)} \simeq 2T, \quad (2.28)$$

in agreement with refs. [25, 26, 27]. Similarly, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\langle E^2 \rangle &\equiv \langle m^2 \cosh^2 \beta \rangle \cong \frac{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} m^5 dm \sinh^2 \beta \cosh^2 \beta d\beta e^{-m \cosh \beta/T}}{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} m^3 dm \sinh^2 \beta d\beta e^{-m \cosh \beta/T}} \\ &= \frac{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm [m^4 K_1(m/T) + 3T m^3 K_2(m/T)]}{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm m^2 K_1(m/T)} \cong 3T \frac{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm m^3 K_2(m/T)}{\int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm m^2 K_1(m/T)} \simeq 6T^2.\end{aligned}\tag{2.29}$$

Let us assume that the average $\langle p^\mu p^\nu \rangle$ has the form

$$\langle p^\mu p^\nu \rangle = a u^\mu u^\nu + b g^{\mu\nu},\tag{2.30}$$

where $u^\mu = (1, \mathbf{0})$ in the local rest frame. The values of a and b can be then calculated as follows: for $\mu = \nu = 0$ one has $\langle (p^0)^2 \rangle = a - b$, while contraction of (2.30) with $g^{\mu\nu}$ gives $-g^{\mu\nu} \langle p_\mu p_\nu \rangle = a - 4b$. The use of the expressions (2.29) for $\langle (p^0)^2 \rangle \equiv \langle E^2 \rangle$, and (2.25) for $-g^{\mu\nu} \langle p_\mu p_\nu \rangle \equiv \langle m^2 \rangle$ yields

$$\begin{cases} a - b &= 6T^2, \\ a - 4b &= 2\left(\frac{M}{\mu_K}\right)^2 (1 - \mu\mu_K/M), \end{cases}$$

so that

$$a = 8T^2 - \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{M}{\mu_K}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\mu\mu_K}{M}\right),\tag{2.31}$$

$$b = 2T^2 - \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{M}{\mu_K}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\mu\mu_K}{M}\right).\tag{2.32}$$

For $T \gg M/\mu_K$, it is possible to take $a \cong 8T^2$, $b \cong 2T^2$, and obtain, therefore,

$$\langle p^\mu p^\nu \rangle \cong 8T^2 u^\mu u^\nu + 2T^2 g^{\mu\nu}.\tag{2.33}$$

To find the expressions for the pressure and energy density in our ensemble, we study the particle energy-momentum tensor defined by the relation [26]

$$T^{\mu\nu}(q) = \sum_i \int d\tau \frac{p_i^\mu p_i^\nu}{M/\mu_K} \delta^4(q - q_i(\tau)),\tag{2.34}$$

in which M/μ_K is the value around which the mass of the bosons making up the ensemble is distributed, i.e., it corresponds to the limiting mass-shell value when the inequality (2.12) becomes equality. Upon integrating over a small space-time volume ΔV and taking the ensemble average, (2.34) reduces to [26]

$$\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle = \frac{T_{\Delta V}}{M/\mu_K} n \langle p^\mu p^\nu \rangle.\tag{2.35}$$

In this formula $T_{\Delta V}$ is the average passage interval in τ for the events which pass through the small (typical) four-volume ΔV in the neighborhood of the R^4 -point. The four-volume ΔV is the smallest that can be considered a macrovolume in representing the ensemble. Using the standard expression

$$\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle = (p + \rho)u^\mu u^\nu + pg^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.36)$$

where p and ρ are the particle pressure and energy density, respectively, we obtain

$$p = \frac{T_{\Delta V}}{\pi^3} \frac{M}{\mu_K} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\mu\mu_K}{M}} T^4, \quad \rho = 3p. \quad (2.37)$$

To interpret these results we calculate the particle number density per unit three-volume. The particle four-current is given by the formula [26]

$$J^\mu(q) = \sum_i \int d\tau \frac{p_i^\mu}{M/\mu_K} \delta^4(q - q_i(\tau)), \quad (2.38)$$

which upon integrating over a small space-time volume and taking the average reduces to

$$\langle J^\mu \rangle = \frac{T_{\Delta V}}{M/\mu_K} n \langle p^\mu \rangle; \quad (2.39)$$

then

$$N_0 \equiv \langle J^0 \rangle = \frac{T_{\Delta V}}{M/\mu_K} n \langle E \rangle, \quad (2.40)$$

so that

$$N_0 = \frac{T_{\Delta V}}{\pi^3} \frac{M}{\mu_K} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\mu\mu_K}{M}} T^3, \quad (2.41)$$

and we recover the ideal gas law

$$p = N_0 T. \quad (2.42)$$

Since, in view of (2.13),

$$\frac{2M}{\mu_K} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\mu\mu_K}{M}} = \Delta m$$

is a width of the mass distribution around the value M/μ_K , Eqs. (2.37),(2.41) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \frac{T_{\Delta V} \Delta m}{2\pi^3} T^4, \quad \rho = 3p, \\ N_0 &= \frac{T_{\Delta V} \Delta m}{2\pi^3} T^3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

In ref. [34] we obtained a formula which relates the average passage interval in τ , $T_{\Delta V}$, with a width of the mass distribution Δm (in order that results of the off-shell

theory coincide with those of the standard on-shell theory in the sharp-mass-shell limit):

$$T_{\Delta V} \Delta m = 2\pi. \quad (2.44)$$

One can understand this relation, up to a numerical factor, in terms of the uncertainty principle (rigorous in the $L^2(R^4)$ quantum theory) $\Delta E \cdot \Delta t \gtrsim 1/2$. Since the time interval for the particle to pass the volume ΔV (this smallest macroscopic volume is bounded from below by the size of the wave packets) $\Delta t \cong E/M \Delta\tau$, and the dispersion of E due to the mass distribution is $\Delta E \sim m\Delta m/E$, one obtains a lower bound for $T_{\Delta V} \Delta m$ of order unity.

Thus, with (2.44) holding, the formulas (2.43) finally reduce to

$$p = \frac{T^4}{\pi^2}, \quad \rho = 3p, \quad (2.45)$$

$$N_0 = \frac{T^3}{\pi^2}, \quad (2.46)$$

which are the standard expressions for high temperatures [35]. Thus, the formulas for characteristic thermodynamic quantities and the equation of state for a relativistic gas of off-shell events *coincide* with those of the relativistic gas of on-shell particles, except for the expression for the average energy which takes the value $2T$ in the relativistic gas of events, in contrast to $3T$, as for the high-temperature limit of the usual theory [36]. Experimental measurement of average energy at high temperature can, therefore, affirm (or negate) the validity of the off-shell theory. There seems to be no empirical evidence which distinguishes between these results at the present time. The quantity $\sigma = M_0 c^2 / k_B T$, a parameter which distinguishes the relativistic from the nonrelativistic regime (see, e.g., [25]) is very large for M_0 of the order of the pion mass, at ordinary temperatures; the ultrarelativistic limit corresponding to σ small becomes a reasonable approximation for $T \gtrsim 10^{13}$ K.

3 Introduction of antiparticles

The introduction of (positive energy) antiparticles into the theory as negative energy events in the CPT -conjugate state leads, by application of the arguments of Haber and Weldon [10], or Actor [37], to a change in sign of μ in the distribution function for antiparticles. We therefore write down the following relation which represents the

analog of the formula (1.2):³

$$N = V^{(4)} \sum_{k^\mu} \left[\frac{1}{e^{(E-\mu-\mu_K \frac{m^2}{2M})/T} - 1} - \frac{1}{e^{(E+\mu-\mu_K \frac{m^2}{2M})/T} - 1} \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

We require that the both n_{k^μ} 's in Eq. (3.1) be positive definite. In this way we obtain the two quadratic inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} m - \mu - \mu_K \frac{m^2}{2M} &\geq 0, \\ m + \mu - \mu_K \frac{m^2}{2M} &\geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

which give the following relation representing the nonnegativeness of the corresponding discriminants:

$$-\frac{M}{2\mu_K} \leq \mu \leq \frac{M}{2\mu_K}. \quad (3.3)$$

It then follows that we must consider the intersection of the ranges of validity of the two inequalities (3.2). Indeed, if each inequality is treated separately, there would be some values of m for which one and not another would be physically acceptable. One finds the bounds of this intersection region by solving these inequalities, and obtains⁴

$$\frac{M}{\mu_K} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2|\mu|\mu_K}{M}} \right) \leq m \leq \frac{M}{\mu_K} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{2|\mu|\mu_K}{M}} \right), \quad (3.4)$$

which for small $|\mu|\mu_K/M$ reduces, as in (2.14) in the no-antiparticle case, to

$$|\mu| \leq m \leq \frac{2M}{\mu_K}. \quad (3.5)$$

Replacing summation in (3.1) by integration, we now obtain a formula for the number density:

$$n = \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{m_1}^{m_2} m^3 dm \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sinh^2 \beta d\beta \left[\frac{1}{e^{(m \cosh \beta - \mu - \mu_K \frac{m^2}{2M})/T} - 1} - \frac{1}{e^{(m \cosh \beta + \mu - \mu_K \frac{m^2}{2M})/T} - 1} \right], \quad (3.6)$$

³As for the nonrelativistic theory, the “free” distribution functions describe quasiparticles in a form which takes interactions into account entering through the chemical potential. Since, by definition of a good quasiparticle, it is not frequently emitted or absorbed. We therefore consider the particles and antiparticles as two species. Since the particle *number* is determined by the derivative of the free energy with respect to the chemical potential, μ must change sign for the antiparticles [10]. Similarly, the average mass (squared) is obtained by the derivative with respect to μ_K [25]; since the mass (squared) of the antiparticle is positive, μ_K does not change sign.

⁴This is actually the solution of one of the inequalities (the most restrictive), depending on the sign of μ .

where m_1 and m_2 are defined in Eq. (3.4), which for large T reduces, as above, to

$$n = \frac{e^{\mu/T} - e^{-\mu/T}}{4\pi^3} T \int_{m_1}^{m_2} dm m^2 K_1\left(\frac{m}{T}\right) e^{\mu_K m^2/2MT}.$$

Now, using the estimates (2.19), (2.20), and $\sinh(\mu/T) \cong \mu/T$ for $\mu/T \ll 1$, we obtain (in place of (2.22))

$$n = \frac{1}{\pi^3} \left(\frac{M}{\mu_K}\right)^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2|\mu|\mu_K}{M}} \mu T. \quad (3.7)$$

In (3.7) n is a conserved net event charge, the sign of μ indicating whether particles outnumber antiparticles or vice versa. Similarly, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 2p(\mu), \\ \rho &= 2\rho(\mu), \end{aligned}$$

where $p(\mu)$ and $\rho(\mu)$ are given by (2.37) with μ replaced by $|\mu|$. On the other hand,

$$N_0 = 2 \frac{T_{\Delta V}}{\pi^3} \frac{M}{\mu_K} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2|\mu|\mu_K}{M}} \mu T^2, \quad (3.8)$$

where the factor of $2\mu/T$, as compared to (2.41), arises from the difference between the factors $\exp(\pm\mu/T)$. One then obtain the following expressions for the Bose gas including both particles and antiparticles⁵:

$$p = \frac{2T^4}{\pi^2}, \quad \rho = 3p, \quad (3.9)$$

$$N_0 = \frac{2T^2}{\pi^2} \mu. \quad (3.10)$$

3.1 Relativistic Bose-Einstein condensation

Let us show that the expression for N_0 (2.40) coincides with the thermodynamic definition

$$N_0 = \frac{N}{V}, \quad (3.11)$$

⁵If we did not neglect indistinguishability of bosons at high temperature, we would obtain, instead of (2.46) [34], $N_0 = \frac{T^3}{\pi^2} Li_3(e^{\mu/T})$, where $Li_\nu(z) \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} z^s/s^\nu$ is the polylogarithm [38], so that, for the system including both particles and antiparticles, $N_0 = \frac{T^3}{\pi^2} [Li_3(e^{\mu/T}) - Li_3(e^{-\mu/T})]$. It then follows from the properties of the polylogarithms [38] that, for $x \equiv |\mu|/T \ll 1$, $Li_3(e^x) - Li_3(e^{-x}) \cong \frac{\pi^2}{3} x$, so that, we would obtain, instead of (3.10), $N_0 = \mu T^2/3$, which coincides with Haber and Weldon's Eq. (1.5).

where N is the number of bosons in a three-dimensional box of volume V . Since the event number density n is, by definition,

$$n = \frac{N}{V^{(4)}} = \frac{N}{V\Delta t},$$

where Δt is the (average) extent of the ensemble along the q^0 -axis (as in our discussion after (2.44)), one has

$$N_0 = n\Delta t. \quad (3.12)$$

The equation of motion (2.2) for q^0 (with M/μ_K , the central value of the mass distribution, instead of M , which corresponds to a change of scale parameter in the expression (2.1) for the generalized Hamiltonian K),

$$\frac{dq_i^0}{d\tau} = \frac{p_i^0}{M/\mu_K},$$

upon averaging over the whole ensemble, reduces to

$$\frac{\Delta t}{T_{\Delta V}} = \frac{\langle E \rangle}{M/\mu_K}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $T_{\Delta V}$ is the average passage interval in τ used in previous consideration. Then, in view of (3.12),(3.13), one obtains the equation (2.40).

Since in the particle-antiparticle case, $N_{\text{rel}} \equiv N - \bar{N}$, where N and \bar{N} are the numbers of particles and antiparticles, respectively, is a conserved quantity, according to the arguments of Haber and Weldon [10] pointed out in Section I, $N_0 = N_{\text{rel}}/V$ is also a conserved quantity, so that it makes sense to talk of $|N_{\text{rel}}|$ bosons in a spatial box of the volume V . Therefore, in Eq. (3.10) N_0 is a conserved quantity, so that, the dependence of μ on temperature is defined by (we assume that N_0 is continuous at the phase transition)

$$\mu = \frac{\pi^2 N_0}{2T^2}. \quad (3.14)$$

For T above some critical temperature, one can always find μ satisfying (3.3) such that the relation (3.14) holds; no such μ can be found for T below the critical temperature. The value of the critical temperature is defined by putting $|\mu| = M/2\mu_K$ in (3.14):

$$T_c = \pi \sqrt{\frac{|N_0|}{M/\mu_K}}. \quad (3.15)$$

For $|\mu| = M/2\mu_K$, the width of the mass distribution is zero, in view of (3.4), and hence the ensemble approaches a distribution sharply peaked at the mass-shell value

M/μ_K . The fluctuations $\delta m = \sqrt{\langle m^2 \rangle - \langle m \rangle^2}$ also vanish. Indeed, as follows from (2.24),(2.25) with μ replaced by $|\mu|$, and (3.14),(3.15),

$$\delta m = \frac{M}{3\mu_K} \sqrt{2 - \left(\frac{T_c}{T}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{T_c}{T}\right)^4}, \quad (3.16)$$

so that, at $T = T_c$, $\delta m = 0$. It follows from (3.16) that for T in the vicinity of T_c ($T \geq T_c$),

$$\delta m \simeq \frac{M}{3\mu_K} \sqrt{\frac{6}{T_c}} \sqrt{T - T_c}. \quad (3.17)$$

We note that Eqs. (2.45),(2.46) do not contain explicit dependence on the chemical potential, and hence no phase transition is induced. In fact, at lower temperature (or small μ_K) one or the other of the particle or antiparticle distribution dominates, and one returns to the case of the high-temperature strongly interacting gas [39]. The remaining phase transition is the usual low-temperature Bose-Einstein condensation discussed in the textbooks.

One sees, with the help of (3.4), that the expression for n (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$n = \frac{1}{2\pi^3} \frac{M}{\mu_K} \Delta m \mu T; \quad (3.18)$$

since at $T = T_c$, $\Delta m = 0$, it follows that $n = 0$ at all temperatures below T_c . Therefore, the behaviour of an ultrarelativistic Bose gas including both particles and antiparticles, which is governed by the relation (3.14), can be thought of as a special type of Bose-Einstein condensation to a ground state with $p^\mu p_\mu = -(M/\mu_K)^2$ (this ground state occurs with zero weight in the integral (3.6)). In such a formulation, every state with temperature $T > T_c$, given by Eq. (3.6), should be considered as an *off-shell* excitation of the on-shell ground state. At $T = T_c$, all such excitations freeze out and the distribution becomes strongly peaked at a definite mass, i.e., the system undergoes a phase transition to the on-shell sector. Note that, for $n = 0$, Eq. (3.12) gives $\Delta t = 0$. Then, since $\langle E \rangle \sim T$, one obtains from (3.13) that $T_{\Delta V} = 0$ (this relation can be also obtained from (2.44) for $\Delta m = 0$), which means that all the events become particles.

Since in both (off-shell and on-shell) phases the temperature dependence of pressure and energy density are the same, and the velocity of sound, $c^2 \equiv dp/d\rho$, is also the same, this phase transition is a second order phase transition. As the distribution function enters the on-shell phase at $T = T_c$, the underlying off-shell theory describes fluctuations around the sharp mean mass. This phenomenon provides a mechanism, based on equilibrium statistical mechanics, for understanding how the general off-shell theory is constrained to the neighborhood of a sharp universal mass shell for each particle type. At temperatures below T_c , the results of the theory for the main thermodynamic quantities coincide with those of the usual on-shell theories.

In order that our considerations be valid, there must hold the relation $T_c \gg M/\mu_K$, which reduces, via (3.15), to

$$|N_0| \gg \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{M}{\mu_K} \right)^3. \quad (3.19)$$

For $M/\mu_K \sim m_\pi \simeq 140$ MeV, this inequality yields $N_0 \gg 3 \cdot 10^5$ MeV³. Taking $N_0 \sim 5 \cdot 10^6$ MeV³, which corresponds to temperature ~ 350 MeV, in view of (2.46), one gets $T_c \sim 550$ MeV $\simeq 4m_\pi$.

If μ_K is very small, it is difficult to satisfy (3.19) and the possibility of such a phase transition may disappear. This case corresponds, as noted above, to that of strong interactions and is discussed in succeeding paper [39].

4 Concluding remarks

We have considered the ideal relativistic Bose gas within the framework of a manifestly covariant relativistic statistical mechanics, taking account of antiparticles. We have shown that in such a particle-antiparticle system at some critical temperature T_c a special type of relativistic Bose-Einstein condensation sets in, which corresponds to phase transition from the sector of relativistic mass distributions to a sector in which the boson mass distribution peaks at a definite mass. The results which can be computed from the latter coincide with those obtained in a high-temperature limit of the usual on-shell relativistic theory.

The relativistic Bose-Einstein condensation in particle-antiparticle system considered in the present paper can represent, along with the Galilean limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ [34], a possible mechanism of acquiring a given sharp mass by the particles of the system, as a phase transition between the corresponding sectors of the theory. Since this phase transition can occur at an ultrarelativistic temperature, it might be relevant to cosmological models. The relativistic Bose-Einstein condensation considered in the present paper may also have properties which could be useful in the study of relativistic boson stars [40]. These and the other aspects of the theory are now under further investigation.

References

- [1] M.B. Al'taie, J. Phys. A **11** (1978) 1603
- [2] R. Beckmann, F. Karsch and D.E. Miller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43** (1979) 1277
- [3] C.A. Aragão de Carvalho and S. Goulart Rosa, Jr., J. Phys. A **13** (1980) 989

- [4] R. Beckmann, F. Karsch and D.E. Miller, Phys. Rev. A **25** (1982) 561
- [5] P.T. Landsberg, in *Statistical Mechanics of Quarks and Hadrons*, ed. H. Satz, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981)
- [6] F. Jüttner, Z. Phys. **47** (1928) 542
- [7] W. Glaser, Z. Phys. **94** (1935) 677
- [8] P.T. Landsberg and J. Dunning-Davies, Phys. Rev. A **138** (1965) 1049
- [9] M.M. Nieto, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **1** (1969) 677; J. Math. Phys. **11** (1970) 1346
- [10] H.E. Haber and H.A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **46** (1981) 1497
- [11] H.E. Haber and H.A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. D **25** (1982) 502
- [12] K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics*, (Wiley, New York, 1963)
- [13] J.I. Kapusta, Phys. Rev. D **24** (1981) 426
- [14] J. Bernstein and S. Dodelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991) 683
- [15] K.M. Benson, J. Bernstein and S. Dodelson, Phys. Rev. D **44** (1991) 2480
- [16] S. Singh and R.K. Pathria, J. Phys. A **17** (1984) 2983
- [17] L. Parker and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **44** (1991) 2421
- [18] K. Shiraishi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **77** (1987) 975
- [19] G. Cognola and L. Vanzo, Phys. Rev. D **47** (1993) 4575
- [20] W. Huang, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994) 3594
- [21] K. Kirsten, Class. Quant. Grav. **8** (1991) 2239; J. Phys. A **24** (1991) 3281
- [22] D.J. Toms, Phys. Rev. Lett. **8** (1992) 1152; Phys. Rev. D **47** (1993) 2483
- [23] M.-H. Lee, H.-C. Kim and J.K. Kim, Bose-Einstein Condensation for a Self-Interacting Theory in Curved Spacetimes, Preprint KAIST-CHEP-93/M4
- [24] K. Kirsten and D.J. Toms, Bose-Einstein Condensation for Interacting Scalar Fields in Curved Spacetime, Preprint UB-ECM-PF 94/37
- [25] L.P. Horwitz, W.C. Schieve and C. Piron, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **137** (1981) 306
- [26] L.P. Horwitz, S. Shashoua and W.C. Schieve, Physica A **161** (1989) 300

- [27] L. Burakovsky and L.P. Horwitz, *Physica A*, **201** (1993) 666
- [28] E.C.G. Stueckelberg, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **14** (1941) 372, 588; **15** (1942) 23
- [29] L.P. Horwitz and C. Piron, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **46** (1973) 316
- [30] R. Arshansky, L.P. Horwitz and Y. Lavie, *Found. Phys.* **13** (1983) 1167
- [31] D.E. Miller and E. Suhonen, *Phys. Rev. D* **26** (1982) 2944
- [32] L. Burakovsky and L.P. Horwitz, *Found. Phys.* **25** (1995) 785
- [33] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, (Dover, New York, 1972), p. 375
- [34] L. Burakovsky and L.P. Horwitz, *J. Phys. A* **27** (1994) 4725
- [35] See, for example, E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, *The Early Universe*, (Addison-Wesley, Redwood, CA, 1990), p. 62
- [36] W. Pauli, *Theory of Relativity*, (Pergamon, Oxford, 1958)
- [37] A. Actor, *Nucl. Phys. B* **256** (1986) 689
- [38] A.P. Prudnikov *et al.*, *Integrals and Series*, (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1980), Vol. 3, p. 762, Appendix II.5
- [39] L. Burakovsky, L.P. Horwitz and W.C. Schieve, On the Relativistic Statistical Mechanics of Strongly Interacting Matter, Preprint TAUP-2231-95
- [40] Ph. Jetzer, *Phys. Repts.* **220** (1992) 163